arXiv:1411.0845v1 [math.DG] 4 Nov 2014

ON WARPED PRODUCT GENERALIZED ROTER TYPE MANIFOLD

ABSOS ALI SHAIKH AND HARADHAN KUNDU

Dedicated to Professor Lajos Tamássy on his ninety-first birthday

Abstract. Generalized Roter type manifold is a generalization of conformally flat manifold as well as Roter type manifold, which gives rise the form of the curvature tensor in terms of algebraic combinations of the fundamental metric tensor and Ricci tensors upto level 2. The object of the present paper is to investigate the characterizations of a warped product manifold to be generalized Roter-type. We also present an example of a warped product manifold which is generalized Roter type but not Roter type, and also an example of a warped product manifold which is Roter type but not conformally flat. These examples ensure the proper existence of such notions.

1. Introduction

The manifold which is locally isometric to an Euclidean manifold is the simplest geometric structure by means of a curvature restriction such that its Riemann-Christoffel curvature tensor R vanishes identically and called a flat manifold. As its proper generalization there arises manifold of constant curvature i.e., the sectional curvatures at each point of the manifold are constant and in this case R is some constant multiple of the Gaussian curvature tensor G or $g\wedge g$ (for definitions of various symbols used here see Section 2). Conformally flat manifold is a generalization of the manifold of constant curvature, such that R can be expressed as a linear combination of $g\wedge g$ and $g\wedge S$ i.e.,

$$R = J_1 g\wedge g + J_2 g\wedge S,$$

where J_1, J_2 are some scalars. Especially, for flat manifold $J_1 = J_2 = 0$; for manifold of constant curvature $J_1 = \frac{r}{n(n-1)}$, $J_2 = 0$; and for conformally flat manifold $J_1 = -\frac{r}{2(n-1)(n-2)}$, $J_2 = \frac{1}{n-2}$. Thus we have a way of generalization to find the form of curvature tensor. In this way Roter type manifold (or briefly RT_n) [6] is a suitable generalization of conformally flat manifold. Similar to conformally flat manifold, in a RT_n, the curvature tensor R can be expressed as a linear combination of $g\wedge g$, $g\wedge S$ and $S\wedge S$. Then as a generalization of RT_n in [21] Shaikh et. al. introduced the notion of generalized Roter type manifold. A manifold is said to be generalized

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 53C15, 53C25, 53C35.

Key words and phrases. Roter type manifold, generalized Roter type manifold, conformally flat manifold, Ricci tensors of higher levels, warped product manifold.

Roter type (or briefly GRT_n) if its curvature tensor is some linear combination of $g \wedge g$, $g \wedge S$, $S \wedge S$ together with $g \wedge S^2$, $S \wedge S^2$ and $S^2 \wedge S^2$. We mention that the such decompositions of R were already investigated in [20] and very recently in [9], [12]. We note that the name "generalized Roter type" was first used in [21]. For general properties of GRT_n and its proper existence we refer the readers to see [23] and also references therein.

Again the notion of warped product manifold ([4], [17]) is a generalization of product manifold and this notion is important due to its applications in general theory and relativity and cosmology. Various spacetimes are warped product or simply product manifolds, e.g., Gödel spacetime [12] is a product manifold and interior black hole spacetime [10], Robertson-Walker spacetime, generalized Robertson-Walker spacetime are warped products.

It is well known that Robertson-Walker spacetime, which is the standard model of cosmology, is a conformally flat warped product space but generalized Robertson-Walker spacetime ([1], [2], [14], [18], [19]) is a warped product space with 1-dimensional base and is not conformally flat. In [5], Deszcz studied the conditions for a 4-dimensional warped product manifolds to be conformally flat (see. Theorem 1, [5]) and in [13] Deszcz et. al. showed that certain generalized Robertson-Walker spacetimes are Roter type. In cosmology there arises many non-conformally flat spacetimes which are not Roter type. For example, interior black hole spacetime is non-conformally flat Roter type, and in [10] Deszcz et. al. presented a warped product metric which is not Roter type but of generalized Roter type. We note that in [13] Deszcz et. al. have already studied warped product Roter type manifolds with 1-dimensional fiber. Motivating by the above studies, in the present paper, we investigate the characterization of a warped product GRT_n manifold.

The paper is organized as follows: Section 2 is concerned with preliminaries of such notions. Section 3 deals with warped product manifolds and their different curvature relations. In section 4 we study warped product GRT_n and obtain its characterization (see Theorem 4.1). The last section is devoted to the proper existence of such notion with example.

2. **Preliminaries**

Let M be an $n(\geq 3)$-dimensional connected semi-Riemannian smooth manifold equipped with a semi-Riemannian metric g. We denote by ∇, R, S, κ, the Levi-Civita connection, the Riemann-Christoffel curvature tensor, Ricci tensor and scalar curvature of M respectively. The Ricci operator $\mathcal{S}$ is defined as $g(\mathcal{S}X, Y) = S(X, Y)$ and the Ricci operator of level 2, $\mathcal{S}^2$ is defined as $\mathcal{S}^2X = \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{S}X)$ and its corresponding $(0, 2)$ tensor S^2, called Ricci tensor of level 2, and is defined as $S^2(X, Y) = S(\mathcal{S}X, Y)$, where $X, Y \in \chi(M)$, where $\chi(M)$ denotes the Lie algebra of all smooth vector fields on M. In terms of local coordinates the tensor S^2 can be

expressed as

$$S_{ij}^2 = g^{kl}S_{ik}S_{jl}.$$

Similarly we can define the Ricci tensors of level 3 and 4 with corresponding operators as

$$S^3(X,Y) = S(\mathcal{S}^2X,Y), \quad S^2(X,Y) = g(\mathcal{S}^2X,Y),$$

$$S^4(X,Y) = S(\mathcal{S}^3X,Y), \quad S^3(X,Y) = g(\mathcal{S}^3X,Y).$$

Now for $(0,2)$ tensors A and E, their Kulkarni-Nomizu product ([7], [8], [11], [15]) $A \wedge E$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}(A \wedge E)(X_1,X_2,Y_1,Y_2) &= A(X_1,Y_2)E(X_2,Y_1) + A(X_2,Y_1)E(X_1,Y_2) \\ &\quad -A(X_1,Y_1)E(X_2,Y_2) - A(X_2,Y_2)E(X_1,Y_1),\end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

where $X_1,X_2,Y_1,Y_2 \in \chi(M)$. Throughout the paper we consider $X,Y,X_i,Y_i \in \chi(M)$, $i = 1,2,\cdots$. In particular, we can define $g \wedge g$, $g \wedge S$, $S \wedge S$, $g \wedge S^2$, $S \wedge S^2$ and $S^2 \wedge S^2$ etc. The local expression of all such tensors are given by

$$(g \wedge g)_{ijkl} = 2(g_{il}g_{jk} - g_{ik}g_{jl}),$$

$$(g \wedge S)_{ijkl} = g_{il}S_{jk} + S_{il}g_{jk} - g_{ik}S_{jl} - S_{ik}g_{jl},$$

$$(S \wedge S)_{ijkl} = 2(S_{il}S_{jk} - S_{ik}S_{jl}),$$

$$(g \wedge S^2)_{ijkl} = g_{il}S_{jk}^2 + S_{il}^2g_{jk} - g_{ik}S_{jl}^2 - S_{ik}^2g_{jl},$$

$$(S \wedge S^2)_{ijkl} = S_{il}S_{jk}^2 + S_{il}^2S_{jk} - S_{ik}S_{jl}^2 - S_{ik}^2S_{jl},$$

$$(S^2 \wedge S^2)_{ijkl} = 2(S_{il}^2S_{jk}^2 - S_{ik}^2S_{jl}^2).$$

We note that the tensor $\frac{1}{2}(g \wedge g)$ is known as Gaussian curvature tensor and is denoted by G. A tensor D of type (1,3) on M is said to be generalized curvature tensor ([7], [8], [11]), if

$$(i) \quad D(X_1,X_2)X_3 + D(X_2,X_1)X_3 = 0,$$

$$(ii) \quad D(X_1,X_2,X_3,X_4) = D(X_3,X_4,X_1,X_2),$$

$$(iii) \quad D(X_1,X_2)X_3 + D(X_2,X_3)X_1 + D(X_3,X_1)X_2 = 0,$$

where $D(X_1,X_2,X_3,X_4) = g(D(X_1,X_2)X_3,X_4)$, for all $X_1,X_2,\ X_3,X_4$. Here we denote the same symbol D for both generalized curvature tensor of type (1,3) and (0,4). Moreover if D satisfies the second Bianchi identity i.e.,

$$(\nabla_{X_1}D)(X_2,X_3)X_4 + (\nabla_{X_2}D)(X_3,X_1)X_4 + (\nabla_{X_3}D)(X_1,X_2)X_4 = 0,$$

then D is called a proper generalized curvature tensor. We note that if A and B are two symmetric $(0,2)$ tensors then $A \wedge B$ is a generalized curvature tensor.

We note that there are various generalized curvature tensors which are linear combination of wedge products of some tensors along with Riemann-Christoffel curvature tensor. One of such more important curvature tensor is the conformal curvature tensor C and is given as

$$C = R - \frac{1}{n-2} g \wedge S + \frac{\kappa}{2(n-1)(n-2)} g \wedge g.$$

We refer the readers to see [24] for details about the various curvature tensors and various geometric structures defined through them and their equivalency.

Definition 2.1. *If the curvature tensor R of a semi-Riemannian manifold (M^n, g) can be expressed as the linear combination of $g \wedge g$, $g \wedge S$ and $S \wedge S$, then it is called Roter type condition on M. If a semi-Riemannian manifold satisfies some Roter type condition, then it is called Roter type manifold* ([6]) *or briefly RT_n. Thus on a RT_n we have*

$$R = N_1 g \wedge g + N_2 g \wedge S + N_3 S \wedge S, \tag{2.2}$$

where N_1, N_2 and N_3 are some scalars on M, called the associated scalars of this structure.

Definition 2.2. *If the curvature tensor R of a semi-Riemannian manifold (M^n, g) can be expressed as the linear combination of $g \wedge g$, $g \wedge S$, $S \wedge S$, $g \wedge S^2$, $S \wedge S^2$ and $S^2 \wedge S^2$, then this condition is called generalized Roter type condition on M. If a semi-Riemannian manifold satisfies some generalized Roter type condition, then it is called generalized Roter type manifold* ([23]) *or briefly GRT_n. Thus on a GRT_n we have*

$$R = L_1 g \wedge g + L_2 g \wedge S + L_3 S \wedge S + L_4 g \wedge S^2 + L_5 S \wedge S^2 + L_6 S^2 \wedge S^2, \tag{2.3}$$

where L_i, $1 \leqslant i \leqslant 6$ are some scalars on M, called the associated scalars of this structure.

We note that any Roter type manifold is generalized Roter type but not conversely, in general. For details about the geometric properties of generalized Roter type manifold we refer the readers to see [23]. Throughout this paper by a proper generalized Roter type manifold we mean a GRT_n which is not Roter type, and by a proper Roter type manifold we mean a RT_n which is not conformally flat. A GRT_n or a RT_n is said to be special if one or more of their associated scalars are identically zero.

Again by contracting the Roter type and generalized Roter type conditions Shaikh and Kundu [23] presented various geometric conditions which gives rise to some generalizations of Einstein manifold.

Definition 2.3. [3] *Let (M^n, g) be a semi-Riemannian manifold. If S and g (resp., S^2, S and g; S^3, S^2, S and g; S^4, S^3, S^2, S and g) are linearly dependent, then it is called $Ein(1)$ (resp., $Ein(2)$; $Ein(3)$; $Ein(4)$) condition. A semi-Riemannian manifold satisfying $Ein(i)$ is called $Ein(i)$ manifold, for all $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$. The $Ein(1)$ condition is the Einstein metric condition and a manifold satisfying Einstein metric condition is called Einstein manifold and in this case we have $S = \frac{\kappa}{n}g$.*

We note that every $Ein(i)$ manifold is $Ein(i+1)$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$ but not conversely. By taking contraction of the condition of a manifold of constant curvature, Roter type and generalized Roter type, we get the Einstein, $Ein(2)$ and $Ein(4)$ condition respectively. It is well known that every manifold of constant curvature is always Einstein. But a RT_n is $Ein(2)$ except $N_1 = -\frac{\kappa}{2(n^2-3n+2)}$, $N_2 = \frac{1}{n-2}$, $N_3 = 0$; and a GRT_n is $Ein(4)$ except $L_1 = \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{L_4(\kappa^2-\kappa^{(2)})}{n-1} - \frac{\kappa}{n^2-3n+2}\right)$, $L_2 = \frac{1}{n-2} - L_4\kappa$, $L_3 = \frac{1}{2}L_4(n-2)$, $L_5 = 0$, $L_6 = 0$, where $\kappa^{(2)} = tr(S^2)$. We note that the first one gives the conformally flatness.

3. **Warped Product Manifold**

The study of warped product manifolds was initiated by Kručkovič [17]. Again while constructing a large class of complete manifolds of negative curvature Bishop and O'Neill [4] obtained the notion of the warped product manifolds. The notion of warped product is a generalization of the product of semi-Riemannian manifolds. Let $(\overline{M}, \overline{g})$ and $(\widetilde{M}, \widetilde{g})$ be two semi-Riemannian manifolds of dimension p and $(n-p)$ respectively $(1 \leq p < n)$, and f be a positive smooth function on $\overline{M}$. Let $\overline{M}$ and $\widetilde{M}$ be covered with coordinate charts $(U; x^1, x^2, ..., x^p)$ and $(V; y^1, y^2, ..., y^{n-p})$ respectively. Then the warped product $M = \overline{M} \times_f \widetilde{M}$ is the product manifold $\overline{M} \times \widetilde{M}$ of dimension n furnished with the metric

$$g = \pi^*(\overline{g}) + (f \circ \pi)\sigma^*(\widetilde{g}),$$

where $\pi : M \to \overline{M}$ and $\sigma : M \to \widetilde{M}$ are natural projections such that $M = \overline{M} \times \widetilde{M}$ is covered with the coordinate charts $(U \times V; x^1, x^2, ..., x^p, x^{p+1} = y^1, x^{p+2} = y^2, ..., x^n = y^{n-p})$. Then the local components of the metric g with respect to this coordinate chart are given by:

$$g_{ij} = \begin{cases} \overline{g}_{ij} & \text{for } i = a \text{ and } j = b, \\ f\widetilde{g}_{ij} & \text{for } i = \alpha \text{ and } j = \beta, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{3.1}$$

Here $a, b \in \{1, 2, ..., p\}$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \{p+1, p+2, ..., n\}$. We note that throughout the paper we consider $a, b, c, ... \in \{1, 2, ..., p\}$ and $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, ... \in \{p+1, p+2, ..., n\}$ and $i, j, k, ... \in \{1, 2, ..., n\}$. Here $\overline{M}$ is called the base, $\widetilde{M}$ is called the fiber and f is called warping function of the warped

product $M = \overline{M} \times_f \widetilde{M}$. If $f = 1$, then the warped product reduces to semi-Riemannian product. We denote Γ^i_{jk}, R_{ijkl}, S_{ij} and κ as the components of Levi-Civita connection ∇, the Riemann-Christoffel curvature tensor R, Ricci tensor S and the scalar curvature of (M, g) respectively. Moreover we consider that, when Ω is a quantity formed with respect to g, we denote by $\overline{\Omega}$ and $\widetilde{\Omega}$, the similar quantities formed with respect to $\overline{g}$ and $\widetilde{g}$ respectively.

Then the non-zero local components of Levi-Civita connection ∇ of (M, g) are given by

$$\Gamma^a_{bc} = \overline{\Gamma}^a_{bc}, \quad \Gamma^\alpha_{\beta\gamma} = \widetilde{\Gamma}^\alpha_{\beta\gamma}, \quad \Gamma^a_{\beta\gamma} = -\frac{1}{2}\overline{g}^{ab} f_b \widetilde{g}_{\beta\gamma}, \quad \Gamma^\alpha_{a\beta} = \frac{1}{2f} f_a \delta^\alpha_\beta, \tag{3.2}$$

where $f_a = \partial_a f = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^a}$.

The local components $R_{hijk} = g_{hl}R^l_{ijk} = g_{hl}(\partial_k \Gamma^l_{ij} - \partial_j \Gamma^l_{ik} + \Gamma^m_{ij}\Gamma^l_{mk} - \Gamma^m_{ik}\Gamma^l_{mj})$, $\partial_k = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^k}$, of the Riemann-Christoffel curvature tensor R of (M, g) which may not vanish identically are the following:

$$R_{abcd} = \overline{R}_{abcd}, \quad R_{a\alpha b\beta} = f T_{ab} \widetilde{g}_{\alpha\beta}, \quad R_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} = f\widetilde{R}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} - f^2 P \widetilde{G}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}, \tag{3.3}$$

where $G_{ijkl} = g_{il}g_{jk} - g_{ik}g_{jl}$ are the components of Gaussian curvature and

$$T_{ab} = -\frac{1}{2f}(\nabla_b f_a - \frac{1}{2f} f_a f_b), \qquad tr(T) = g^{ab} T_{ab},$$

$$Q = f((n-p-1)P - tr(T)), \qquad P = \frac{1}{4f^2} g^{ab} f_a f_b.$$

Again, the non-zero local components of the Ricci tensor $S_{jk} = g^{il}R_{ijkl}$ of (M, g) are given by

$$S_{ab} = \overline{S}_{ab} - (n-p)T_{ab}, \quad S_{\alpha\beta} = \widetilde{S}_{\alpha\beta} + Q\widetilde{g}_{\alpha\beta}. \tag{3.4}$$

The scalar curvature κ of (M, g) is given by

$$\kappa = \overline{\kappa} + \frac{\widetilde{\kappa}}{f} - (n-p)[(n-p-1)P - 2\, tr(T)]. \tag{3.5}$$

For more detail about warped product components of basic tensors we refer the readers to see [16], [22] and also references therein.

Now from the above we can easily calculate the components of various necessary tensors of a warped product manifold in terms of its base and fiber components. The non-zero components of Ricci tensor of level 2 are

$$\begin{cases} (i) S^2_{ab} = \overline{S}^2_{ab} + (n-p)(\overline{S} \cdot T)_{ab} + (n-p)^2 T^2_{ab}, \\ (ii) S^2_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{f}[\widetilde{S}^2_{\alpha\beta} + 2Q\widetilde{S}_{\alpha\beta} + Q^2\widetilde{g}_{\alpha\beta}]. \end{cases} \tag{3.6}$$

The non-zero components of $(g \wedge g)$ are

$$\begin{cases} (i)(g \wedge g)_{abcd} = (\overline{g} \wedge \overline{g})_{abcd}, \\ (ii)(g \wedge g)_{a\alpha b\beta} = -2f\overline{g}_{ab}\widetilde{g}_{\alpha\beta}, \\ (iii)(g \wedge g)_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} = f^2(\widetilde{g} \wedge \widetilde{g})_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}. \end{cases} \tag{3.7}$$

The non-zero components of $(g \wedge S)$ are

$$\begin{cases} (i)(g \wedge S)_{abcd} = (\overline{g} \wedge \overline{S})_{abcd} - (n-p)(\overline{g} \wedge T)_{abcd}, \\ (ii)(g \wedge S)_{a\alpha b\beta} = -\overline{g}_{ab}(\widetilde{S}_{\alpha\beta} + Q\widetilde{g}_{\alpha\beta}) - f\widetilde{g}_{\alpha\beta}(\overline{S}_{ab} - (n-p)T_{ab}), \\ (iii)(g \wedge S)_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} = f(\widetilde{g} \wedge \widetilde{S})_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} + 2fQ\widetilde{G}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}. \end{cases} \tag{3.8}$$

The non-zero components of $(S \wedge S)$ are

$$\begin{cases} (i)(S \wedge S)_{abcd} = (\overline{S} \wedge \overline{S})_{abcd} - (n-p)(\overline{S} \wedge T)_{abcd} + (n-p)^2(T \wedge T)_{abcd}, \\ (ii)(S \wedge S)_{a\alpha b\beta} = -2(\widetilde{S}_{\alpha\beta} + Q\widetilde{g}_{\alpha\beta})(\overline{S}_{ab} - (n-p)T_{ab}), \\ (iii)(S \wedge S)_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} = (\widetilde{S} \wedge \widetilde{S})_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} + Q(\widetilde{S} \wedge \widetilde{g})_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} + Q^2(\widetilde{g} \wedge \widetilde{g})_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}. \end{cases} \tag{3.9}$$

The non-zero components of $(g \wedge S^2)$ are

$$\begin{cases} (i)(g \wedge S^2)_{abcd} = (\overline{g} \wedge \overline{S}^2)_{abcd} + (n-p)(\overline{g} \wedge (\overline{S} \cdot T))_{abcd} + (n-p)^2(\overline{g} \wedge T^2)_{abcd}, \\ (ii)(g \wedge S^2)_{a\alpha b\beta} = -\frac{1}{f}\overline{g}_{ab}(\widetilde{S}^2_{\alpha\beta} + 2Q\widetilde{S}_{\alpha\beta} + Q^2\widetilde{g}_{\alpha\beta}) \\ \qquad\qquad -f\widetilde{g}_{\alpha\beta}(\overline{S}^2_{ab} + (n-p)\overline{S} \cdot T_{ab} + (n-p)^2T^2_{ab}), \\ (iii)(g \wedge S^2)_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} = (\widetilde{g} \wedge \widetilde{S}^2)_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} + 2Q(\widetilde{g} \wedge \widetilde{S})_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} + Q^2(\widetilde{g} \wedge \widetilde{g})_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}. \end{cases} \tag{3.10}$$

The non-zero components of $(S \wedge S^2)$ are

$$\begin{cases} (i)(S \wedge S^2)_{abcd} = (\overline{S} \wedge \overline{S}^2)_{abcd} + (n-p)(\overline{S} \wedge (\overline{S} \cdot T))_{abcd} \\ \qquad\qquad +(n-p)^2(\overline{S} \wedge T^2)_{abcd} - (n-p)(\overline{S}^2 \wedge T)_{abcd} \\ \qquad\qquad -(n-p)^2(T \wedge (\overline{S} \cdot T))_{abcd} + (n-p)^3(T \wedge T^2)_{abcd}, \\ (ii)(S \wedge S^2)_{a\alpha b\beta} = -\frac{1}{f}(\overline{S}_{ab} - (n-p)T_{ab})(\widetilde{S}^2_{\alpha\beta} + 2Q\widetilde{S}_{\alpha\beta} + Q^2\widetilde{g}_{\alpha\beta}) \\ \qquad\qquad -(\overline{S}^2_{ab} + (n-p)(\overline{S} \cdot T)_{ab} + (n-p)^2T^2_{ab})(\widetilde{S}_{\alpha\beta} + Q\widetilde{g}_{\alpha\beta}), \\ (iii)(S \wedge S^2)_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} = \frac{1}{f}[(\widetilde{S} \wedge \widetilde{S}^2)_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} + 4Q(\widetilde{S} \wedge \widetilde{S})_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} + Q^2(\widetilde{S} \wedge \widetilde{g})_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} \\ \qquad\qquad +Q(\widetilde{g} \wedge \widetilde{S}^2)_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} + 2Q^2(\widetilde{g} \wedge \widetilde{S})_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} + 2Q^3(\widetilde{g} \wedge \widetilde{g})_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}]. \end{cases} \tag{3.11}$$

The non-zero components of $(S^2 \wedge S^2)$ are

$$
\begin{cases}
(i)(S^2 \wedge S^2)_{abcd} = (\overline{S}^2 \wedge \overline{S}^2)_{abcd} + (n-p)^2((\overline{S}\cdot T) \wedge (\overline{S}\cdot T))_{abcd} \\
\qquad\qquad +(n-p)^2(T^2 \wedge T^2)_{abcd} + 2(n-p)(\overline{S}^2 \wedge (\overline{S}\cdot T))_{abcd} \\
\qquad\qquad +2(n-p)^3((\overline{S}\cdot T^2) \wedge T^2)_{abcd} + 2(n-p)^3(\overline{S}^2 \wedge T^2)_{abcd}, \\
(ii)(S^2 \wedge S^2)_{a\alpha b\beta} = -\frac{2}{f}(\overline{S}^2_{ab} + (n-p)(\overline{S}\cdot T)_{ab} + (n-p)^2 T^2_{ab}) \\
\qquad\qquad (\widetilde{S}^2_{\alpha\beta} + 2Q\widetilde{S}_{\alpha\beta} + Q^2\widetilde{g}_{\alpha\beta}), \\
(iii)(S \wedge S^2)_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} = \frac{1}{f^2}[(\widetilde{S}^2 \wedge \widetilde{S}^2)_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} + 4Q^2(\widetilde{S} \wedge \widetilde{S})_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} + Q^4(\widetilde{g} \wedge \widetilde{g})_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} \\
\qquad\qquad +4Q(\widetilde{S}^2 \wedge \widetilde{S})_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} + 2Q^2(\widetilde{g} \wedge \widetilde{S}^2)_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} + 4Q^3(\widetilde{g} \wedge \widetilde{S})_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}].
\end{cases} \tag{3.12}
$$

From above we see that the components of $g \wedge g$, $g \wedge S$, $S \wedge S$, $g \wedge S^2$, $S \wedge S^2$ and $S^2 \wedge S^2$ are in a quadratic form of wedge product for base and fiber part and quadratic form of the product for the mixed part. So each of them can be expressed by a matrix. For example, $(g \wedge S)_{abcd}$, $(g \wedge S)_{a\alpha c\beta}$ and $(g \wedge S)_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}$ can respectively be expressed as:

$$
\begin{pmatrix} \overline{g} \\ \overline{S} \\ \overline{S}^2 \\ T \\ T^2 \\ \overline{S}\cdot T \end{pmatrix}^t
\begin{pmatrix}
0 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & \frac{p-n}{2} & 0 & 0 \\
\frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\frac{p-n}{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}
\wedge
\begin{pmatrix} \overline{g} \\ \overline{S} \\ \overline{S}^2 \\ T \\ T^2 \\ \overline{S}\cdot T \end{pmatrix}_{abcd}
\quad \text{or}
$$

$\wedge$	$\overline{g}$	$\overline{S}$	$\overline{S}^2$	T	T^2	$\overline{S}\cdot T$
$\overline{g}$	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	$\frac{p-n}{2}$	0	0
$\overline{S}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	0	0	0	0
$\overline{S}^2$	0	0	0	0	0	0
T	$\frac{p-n}{2}$	0	0	0	0	0
T^2	0	0	0	0	0	0
$\overline{S}\cdot T$	0	0	0	0	0	0

$$
\begin{pmatrix} \overline{g} \\ \overline{S} \\ \overline{S}^2 \\ T \\ T^2 \\ \overline{S}\cdot T \end{pmatrix}^t_{ab}
\begin{pmatrix}
-Q & -1 & 0 \\
-f & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
f(p-n) & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix} \widetilde{g} \\ \widetilde{S} \\ \widetilde{S}^2 \end{pmatrix}_{\alpha\beta}
\quad \text{or}
$$

	$\widetilde{g}$	$\widetilde{S}$	$\widetilde{S}^2$
$\overline{g}$	$-Q$	-1	0
$\overline{S}$	$-f$	0	0
$\overline{S}^2$	0	0	0
T	$f(p-n)$	0	0
T^2	0	0	0
$\overline{S}\cdot T$	0	0	0

$$
\begin{pmatrix} \widetilde{g} \\ \widetilde{S} \\ \widetilde{S}^2 \end{pmatrix}^t
\begin{pmatrix}
fQ & \frac{f}{2} & 0 \\
\frac{f}{2} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}
\wedge
\begin{pmatrix} \widetilde{g} \\ \widetilde{S} \\ \widetilde{S}^2 \end{pmatrix}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}
\quad \text{or}
$$

$\wedge$	$\widetilde{g}$	$\widetilde{S}$	$\widetilde{S}^2$
$\widetilde{g}$	fQ	$\frac{f}{2}$	0
$\widetilde{S}$	$\frac{f}{2}$	0	0
$\widetilde{S}^2$	0	0	0

Similarly we can get the matrix representation for the other tensors of $g \wedge g$, $S \wedge S$, $g \wedge S^2$, $S \wedge S^2$ and $S^2 \wedge S^2$.

4. **Warped Product generalized Roter-type manifolds**

Theorem 4.1. *Let $M^n = \overline{M}^p \times_f \widetilde{M}^{n-p}$ be a warped product manifold. Then M is a generalized Roter-type with*

$$R = L_1 g \wedge g + L_2 g \wedge S + L_3 S \wedge S + L_4 g \wedge S^2 + L_5 S \wedge S^2 + L_6 S^2 \wedge S^2 \tag{4.1}$$

if and only if

(i) the Riemann-Christoffel curvature tensor $\overline{R}$ of $\overline{M}$ can be expressed as

$\wedge$	$\overline{g}$	$\overline{S}$	$\overline{S}^2$	T	T^2	$\overline{S}\cdot T$
$\overline{g}$	L_1	$\frac{L_2}{2}$	$\frac{L_4}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}L_2(p-n)$	$\frac{1}{2}L_4(n-p)^2$	$\frac{1}{2}L_4(n-p)$
$\overline{S}$	$\frac{L_2}{2}$	L_4	$\frac{L_5}{2}$	$L_4(p-n)$	$\frac{1}{2}L_5(n-p)^2$	$\frac{1}{2}L_5(n-p)$
$\overline{S}^2$	$\frac{L_4}{2}$	$\frac{L_5}{2}$	L_6	$\frac{1}{2}L_5(p-n)$	$L_6(n-p)^2$	$L_6(n-p)$
T	$\frac{1}{2}L_2(p-n)$	$L_3(p-n)$	$\frac{1}{2}L_5(p-n)$	$L_3(n-p)^2$	$-\frac{1}{2}L_5(n-p)^3$	$-\frac{1}{2}L_5(n-p)^2$
T^2	$\frac{1}{2}L_4(n-p)^2$	$\frac{1}{2}L_5(n-p)^2$	$L_6(n-p)^2$	$-\frac{1}{2}L_5(n-p)^3$	$L_6(n-p)^4$	$L_6(n-p)^3$
$\overline{S}\cdot T$	$\frac{1}{2}L_4(n-p)$	$\frac{1}{2}L_5(n-p)$	$L_6(n-p)$	$-\frac{1}{2}L_5(n-p)^2$	$L_6(n-p)^3$	$L_6(n-p)^2$

(ii) the following expression is equal to zero

	$\widetilde{g}$	$\widetilde{S}$	$\widetilde{S}^2$
$\overline{g}$	$-\frac{L_4Q^2}{f} - L_2Q - 2fL_1$	$-L_2 - \frac{2L_4Q}{f}$	$-\frac{L_4}{f}$
$\overline{S}$	$-\frac{L_5Q^2}{f} - 2L_3Q - fL_2$	$-\frac{2(fL_3+L_5Q)}{f}$	$-\frac{L_5}{f}$
$\overline{S}^2$	$-\frac{2L_6Q^2}{f} - L_5Q - fL_4$	$-L_5 - \frac{4L_6Q}{f}$	$-\frac{2L_6}{f}$
T	$f(L_2(p-n)-1) + \frac{L_5Q^2(p-n)}{f} + 2L_3Q(p-n)$	$-\frac{2(n-p)(fL_3+L_5Q)}{f}$	$\frac{L_5(p-n)}{f}$
T^2	$-\frac{(n-p)^2\left(L_4f^2+L_5Qf+2L_6Q^2\right)}{f}$	$-\frac{(n-p)^2(fL_5+4L_6Q)}{f}$	$-\frac{2L_6(n-p)^2}{f}$
$\overline{S}\cdot T$	$-\frac{(n-p)\left(L_4f^2+L_5Qf+2L_6Q^2\right)}{f}$	$-\frac{(n-p)(fL_5+4L_6Q)}{f}$	$\frac{2L_6(p-n)}{f}$

(iii) $f\widetilde{R}$, $\widetilde{R}$ be the Riemann-Christoffel curvature tensor of $\widetilde{M}$, can be expressed as

$\wedge$	$\widetilde{g}$	$\widetilde{S}$	$\widetilde{S}^2$
$\widetilde{g}$	$\frac{L_6Q^4}{f^2} + \frac{L_5Q^3}{f} + (L_3+L_4)Q^2 + fL_2Q + f^2L_1 - \frac{fP}{2}$	$(L_3+L_4)Q + \frac{L_2f^3+3L_5Q^2f+4L_6Q^3}{2f^2}$	$\frac{1}{2}\left(L_4 + \frac{Q(fL_5+2L_6Q)}{f^2}\right)$
$\widetilde{S}$	$(L_3+L_4)Q + \frac{L_2f^3+3L_5Q^2f+4L_6Q^3}{2f^2}$	$L_3 + \frac{2Q(fL_5+2L_6Q)}{f^2}$	$\frac{fL_5+4L_6Q}{2f^2}$
$\widetilde{S}^2$	$\frac{1}{2}\left(L_4 + \frac{Q(fL_5+2L_6Q)}{f^2}\right)$	$\frac{fL_5+4L_6Q}{2f^2}$	$\frac{L_6}{f^2}$

Proof: By putting the values of R, $g\wedge g$, $g\wedge S$, $S\wedge S$, $g\wedge S^2$, $S\wedge S^2$, $S^2\wedge S^2$ for all possible cases of their components from (3.3) and (3.7) to (3.12) in the generalized Roter type condition (4.1) we get our assertion easily.

From the above we can conclude on the base and fiber part of the warped product generalized Roter type manifold as follows:

Corollary 4.1. *Let $M^n = \overline{M}^p \times_f \widetilde{M}^{n-p}$ be a warped product manifold satisfying generalized Roter-type condition as*

$$R = L_1 S \wedge S + L_2 S \wedge S^2 + L_3 g \wedge S + L_4 g \wedge S^2 + L_5 g \wedge g + L_6 S^2 \wedge S^2.$$

Then, (i) the fiber $\widetilde{M}$ is generalized Roter type.
(ii) the fiber $\widetilde{M}$ is Roter type if $J_1 \neq 0$,
where $J_1 = -\frac{L_5\overline{r}+2L_6\Big((n-p)\Big(tr(T^2)(n-p)+tr(\overline{S}\cdot T)\Big)+\overline{r^{(2)}}\Big)+L_4 p+L_5 tr(T)(n-p)}{f}$. Moreover in this case fiber satisfies $Ein(2)$ condition.
(iii) the fiber $\widetilde{M}$ is conformally flat if $J_1 \neq 0$ and

$$\frac{(J_2)^2 L_6}{f^2 (J_1)^2} + \frac{J_2(fL_5 + 4L_6 Q)}{f^2 J_1} + \frac{2Q(fL_5 + 2L_6 Q)}{f^2} + L_3 = 0,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} J_2 = \; & -\frac{1}{f}\Big[2\overline{r}(fL_3 + L_5 Q) + (fL_5 + 4L_6 Q)\Big((n-p)\Big(tr(T^2)(n-p) + tr(\overline{S}\cdot T)\Big) + \overline{r^{(2)}}\Big) \\ & + p(fL_2 + 2L_4 Q) + 2tr(T)(n-p)(fL_3 + L_5 Q)\Big]. \end{aligned}$$

(iv) the fiber $\widetilde{M}$ is of constant curvature if $J_1 = 0$ and $J_2 \neq 0$. Moreover in this case the fiber is Einstein.
(v) the base $\overline{M}$ is generalized Roter type if T, $\overline{g}$ and $\overline{S}$ are linearly dependent with non-zero coefficient of T.

From Theorem 4.1 we can easily get the necessary and sufficient condition for a warped product manifold to be Roter type.

Corollary 4.2. *Let $M = \overline{M} \times_f \widetilde{M}$ be a non-flat warped product manifold. Then M is a Roter type manifold with*

$$R = N_1 g \wedge g + N_2 g \wedge S + N_3 S \wedge S$$

if and only if
(i) the Rieman-Christoffel curvature tensor $\overline{R}$ of $\overline{M}$ can be expressed as

$\wedge$	$\overline{g}$	$\overline{S}$	T
$\overline{g}$	N_1	$\frac{N_2}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}N_2(p-n)$
$\overline{S}$	$\frac{N_2}{2}$	N_3	$N_3(p-n)$
T	$\frac{1}{2}N_2(p-n)$	$N_3(p-n)$	$N_3(n-p)^2$

(ii) the following expression is equal to zero

	$\overline{g}$	$\overline{S}$	T
$\widetilde{g}$	$-2fN_1 - N_2Q$	$-fN_2 - 2N_3Q$	$-f(1+N_2(n-p)) - 2N_3Q(n-p)$
$\widetilde{S}$	$-N_2$	$-2N_3$	$2N_3(p-n)$

(iii) $f\widetilde{R}$, $\widetilde{R}$ *be the Riemann-Christoffel curvature tensor of* $\widetilde{M}$, *can be expressed as*

$\wedge$	$\widetilde{g}$	$\widetilde{S}$
$\widetilde{g}$	$N_1f^2 + N_2Qf + N_3Q^2 - \frac{fP}{2}$	$\frac{fN_2}{2} + N_3Q$
$\widetilde{S}$	$\frac{fN_2}{2} + N_3Q$	N_3

As similar to the Corollary 4.1 we can conclude the following on the base and fiber part of a Roter type warped product manifold.

Corollary 4.3. *Let* $M = \overline{M} \times_f \widetilde{M}$ *be a non-flat warped product manifold. Then* M *is a Roter type manifold with*

$$R = N_1 g \wedge g + N_2 g \wedge S + N_3 S \wedge S.$$

Then (i) *fiber is of Roter type.*
(ii) *fiber is conformally flat if* M *is conformally flat.*
(iii) *fiber is of constant curvature if* $-2(n-p)N_3 tr(T) - N_2 p - 2N_3 r \neq 0$, *and in this case fiber is an Einstein manifold.*
(iv) *base is of Roter type if* T, $\overline{g}$ *and* $\overline{S}$ *are linearly dependent with non-zero coefficient of* T.

Now we can easily deduce the necessary and sufficient condition for a warped product manifold to be conformally flat, as follows:

Corollary 4.4. *Let* $M = \overline{M} \times_f \widetilde{M}$ *be a non-flat warped product manifold. Then* M *is conformally flat if and only if*
(i) $\overline{R} = \frac{\kappa}{(n-2)(n-1)}\overline{g} \wedge \overline{g} + \frac{1}{n-2}\overline{g} \wedge \overline{S} - \frac{n-p}{n-2}\overline{g} \wedge T$
(ii) $\left[-\frac{2f\kappa}{(n-2)(n-1)} - \frac{Q}{n-2}\right]\overline{g}_{ab}\widetilde{g}_{\alpha\beta} - \frac{1}{n-2}\overline{g}_{ab}\widetilde{S}_{\alpha\beta} - \frac{f}{n-2}\overline{S}_{ab}\widetilde{g}_{\alpha\beta} - f\left(\frac{n-p}{n-2}+1\right)T_{ab}\widetilde{g}_{\alpha\beta} = 0$
(iii) $\widetilde{R} = \left[\frac{f\kappa}{(n-2)(n-1)} + \frac{Q}{n-2} - \frac{1}{2}P\right]\widetilde{g} \wedge \widetilde{g} + \frac{1}{(n-2)}\widetilde{g} \wedge \widetilde{S}$.

Proof: The result follows from Corollary 4.2 by using $N_1 = \frac{r}{(n-1)(n-2)}$, $N_2 = \frac{1}{n-2}$ and $N_3 = 0$. From above we can state the following:

Corollary 4.5. *In a conformally flat warped product manifold*
(i) fiber is conformally flat.
(ii) fiber is of constant curvature if and only if it is Einstein.
(iii) fiber is of quasi-constant curvature if and only if it is quasi-Einstein.
(iv) *base is of conformally flat if* T, $\overline{g}$ *and* $\overline{S}$ *are linearly dependent with non-zero coefficient of* T.

We now discuss about the decomposable or product semi-Riemannian manifold satisfying some generalized Roter type conditions. We know that semi-Riemannian product are some special case of warped product manifold, where the warping function f is identically 1. Then we have

$$T = 0, \quad P = 0 \text{ and } \quad Q = 0.$$

Thus applying these values in (3.3) to (3.12) we get the non-zero components of R, S, r, S^2, $g\wedge g$, $g\wedge S$, $S\wedge S$, $g\wedge S^2$, $S\wedge S^2$ and $S^2\wedge S^2$. Now from Theorem 4.1 we can state the following:

Corollary 4.6. *Let $M^n = \overline{M}^p \times \widetilde{M}^{n-p}$ be a product manifold. Then M is a generalized Roter-type with*

$$R = L_1 g\wedge g + L_2 g\wedge S + L_3 S\wedge S + L_4 g\wedge S^2 + L_5 S\wedge S^2 + L_6 S^2\wedge S^2 \tag{4.2}$$

if and only if

(i) the Riemann-Christoffel curvature tensor $\overline{R}$ of $\overline{M}$ and $\widetilde{R}$ of $\widetilde{M}$ can respectively be expressed as

$\wedge$	$\overline{g}$	$\overline{S}$	$\overline{S}^2$
$\overline{g}$	L_1	$\frac{L_2}{2}$	$\frac{L_4}{2}$
$\overline{S}$	$\frac{L_2}{2}$	L_4	$\frac{L_5}{2}$
$\overline{S}^2$	$\frac{L_4}{2}$	$\frac{L_5}{2}$	L_6

and

$\wedge$	$\widetilde{g}$	$\widetilde{S}$	$\widetilde{S}^2$
$\widetilde{g}$	L_1	$\frac{L_2}{2}$	$\frac{L_4}{2}$
$\widetilde{S}$	$\frac{L_2}{2}$	L_3	$\frac{L_5}{2}$
$\widetilde{S}^2$	$\frac{L_4}{2}$	$\frac{L_5}{2}$	L_6

(ii) the following expression is equal to zero

	$\widetilde{g}$	$\widetilde{S}$	$\widetilde{S}^2$
$\overline{g}$	$-2L_1$	$-L_2$	$-L_4$
$\overline{S}$	$-L_2$	$-2L_3$	$-L_5$
$\overline{S}^2$	$-L_4$	$-L_5$	$-2L_6$

Note: From the above corollary we can get a necessary and sufficient condition for a product manifold to be Roter type by taking $L_4 = L_5 = L_6 = 0$, and conformally flat by taking $L_3 = L_4 = L_5 = L_6 = 0$, $L_1 = \frac{r}{2(n-1)(n-2)}$ and $L_2 = \frac{1}{n-2}$. Again from the above results we see that the decompositions of a semi-Riemannian product generalized Roter type manifold are also generalized Roter type manifold but the converse is not necessarily true, in general (see Example 5.1). We also note that the same case arises for Roter type and conformally flat manifolds also (see Example 5.1).

5. **Examples**

Example 5.1: Consider the warped product $M = \overline{M} \times_f \widetilde{M}$, where $\overline{M}$ is an open interval of $\mathbb{R}$ with usual metric $\overline{g} = (dx^1)^2$ in local coordinate x^1 and $\widetilde{M}$ is a 4-dimensional manifold

equipped with a semi-Riemannian metric

$$\widetilde{g} = (dx^2)^2 + h(dx^3)^2 + h(dx^4)^2 + h\psi(dx^5)^2$$

in local coordinates (x^2, x^3, x^4, x^5), where the warping function f is a function of x^1 and the functions h and ψ are non-zero functions of x^2 and x^3 respectively. We can easily evaluate the local components of necessary tensors of $\widetilde{M}$. The local non-zero components of the Riemann-Christoffel curvature tensor $\widetilde{R}$ and the Ricci tensor $\widetilde{S}$ of $\widetilde{M}$ upto symmetry are

$$\psi\widetilde{R}_{1212} = \psi\widetilde{R}_{1313} = \widetilde{R}_{1414} = \psi\frac{\left((h')^2 - 2hh''\right)}{4h},$$

$$\psi\widetilde{R}_{2323} = \widetilde{R}_{3434} = -\frac{\psi}{4}(h')^2,$$

$$\widetilde{R}_{2424} = \frac{1}{4}\left(-\psi(h')^2 - 2h\psi'' + \frac{h(\psi')^2}{\psi}\right)$$

and

$$\widetilde{S}_{11} = \frac{3\left(2hh'' - (h')^2\right)}{4h^2},$$

$$\widetilde{S}_{22} = \frac{1}{4}\left(2h'' + \frac{(h')^2}{h} - \frac{(\psi')^2 - 2\psi\psi''}{\psi^2}\right),$$

$$\widetilde{S}_{33} = \frac{2hh'' + (h')^2}{4h},$$

$$\widetilde{S}_{44} = \frac{1}{4}\left(2(\psi h'' + \psi'') + \frac{\psi(h')^2}{h} - \frac{(\psi')^2}{\psi}\right).$$

Then we can easily check that this manifold is of generalized Roter type and satisfies the $Ein(3)$ condition. Again if

(i) $(h')^2 - hh'' = 0$, i.e., $h = c_1 e^{c_2 x^2}$, then it satisfies the $Ein(2)$ condition and thus becomes Roter type;

(ii) $(\psi')^2 - 2\psi\psi'' = 0$, i.e., $\psi = \frac{(c_1 x^3 + 2c_2)^2}{4c_2}$, then it becomes a manifold of constant curvature, where c_1 and c_2 are arbitrary constants.

Thus by a straightforward calculation we can evaluate the components of various necessary tensors corresponding to M. The non-zero local components of the Riemann-Christoffel curvature tensor R and the Ricci tensor S of M upto symmetry are

$$h\psi R_{1212} = \psi R_{1313} = \psi R_{1414} = R_{1515} = h\psi\frac{(f')^2 - 2ff''}{4f},$$

$$\psi R_{2323} = \psi R_{2424} = R_{2525} = \frac{\psi}{4}\left(-h(f')^2 - 2fh'' + \frac{f(h')^2}{h}\right),$$

$$\psi R_{3434} = R_{4545} = -\frac{\psi}{4}\left(h^2\left(f'\right)^2 + f\left(h'\right)^2\right)$$

$$R_{3535} = \frac{1}{4}\left[f\left(-\psi\left(h'\right)^2 - 2h\psi'' + \frac{h\left(\psi'\right)^2}{\psi}\right) - h^2\psi\left(f'\right)^2\right].$$

and

$$S_{11} = -\frac{\left(f'\right)^2 - 2ff''}{f^2},$$

$$S_{22} = \frac{1}{4}\left(2f'' + \frac{2\left(f'\right)^2}{f} + \frac{6hh'' - 3\left(h'\right)^2}{h^2}\right),$$

$$\psi S_{33} = S_{55} = \frac{1}{4}\left(2h\psi f'' + \frac{2h\psi\left(f'\right)^2}{f} + 2\psi h'' + \frac{\psi\left(h'\right)^2}{h} + 2\psi'' - \frac{\left(\psi'\right)^2}{\psi}\right),$$

$$S_{44} = \frac{1}{4}\left(2\left(hf'' + h''\right) + \frac{2h\left(f'\right)^2}{f} + \frac{\left(h'\right)^2}{h}\right).$$

From these we can easily calculate the local components of S^2, S^3, S^4 and also the local components of G, $g \wedge S$, $S \wedge S$, $g \wedge S^2$, $S \wedge S^2$ and $S^2 \wedge S^2$. We observe that for any f, h and ψ, the manifold is $Ein(4)$ but not of generalized Roter type. We now discuss the results for particular value of the functions f, h and ψ step by step as follows:

Step I: If $(h')^2 - hh'' = 0$, i.e., $h = c_1 e^{c_2 x^2}$, then M is generalized Roter type and also satisfies the $Ein(3)$ condition. We note that in this case fiber $\widetilde{M}$ is proper Roter type and thus M is a proper generalized Roter type warped product manifold with proper Roter type fiber.

Step II: Again consider $-2(f')^2 + f(2f'' - 1) = 0$, i.e.,

$$f = \frac{e^{-\sqrt{c_1}(x^1+c_2)}\left(e^{\sqrt{c_1}(x^1+c_2)} + 4c_1\right)^2}{16c_1^2} \quad \text{or} \quad f = \frac{e^{-\sqrt{c_1}(x^1+c_2)}\left(1 + 4c_1 e^{\sqrt{c_1}(x^1+c_2)}\right)^2}{16c_1^2},$$

where c_1 and c_2 are arbitrary non-zero constants. Then the manifold satisfies the $Ein(2)$ condition and thus the manifold becomes proper Roter type. In this case fiber remains also Roter type. So M is a warped product proper Roter type manifold with proper Roter type fiber.

Step III: Next consider $(\psi')^2 - 2\psi\psi'' = 0$, i.e., $\psi = \frac{(c_1 x^3 + 2c_2)^2}{4c_2}$. Then M is of constant curvature and in this case fiber is also of constant curvature.

We now discuss a special case, when $f = (x^1)^2$, $h = c_2 Cos^2(x^2 - 2c_1)$ and $\psi = e^{x^3}$. Here the manifold M is a special generalized Roter type and satisfies the $Ein(3)$ condition. In this case the fiber $\widetilde{M}$ is proper generalized Roter type and $Ein(3)$. Hence M is a warped product proper generalized Roter type manifold with proper generalized Roter type fiber.

Conclusion: The characterization of Roter type and generalized Roter type warped product manifolds is investigated along with their proper existence by suitable examples.

Acknowledgment: The second named author gratefully acknowledges to CSIR, New Delhi

(File No. 09/025 (0194)/2010-EMR-I) for the financial assistance. All the algebraic computations of Section 5 are performed with help of Wolfram Mathematica.

References

[1] Alías, L., Romero, A. and Sánchez, M., *Compact spacelike hypersurfaces of constant mean curvature in generalized Robertson-Walker spacetimes*, Geometry and Topology of Submanifolds VII, River Edge, NJ, USA: World Scientic (1995), 67–70.

[2] Alías, L., Romero, A. and Sánchez, M., *Spacelike hypersurfaces of constant mean curvature and Calabi-Einstein type problems*, Tôhoku Math. J., **49** (1997), 337–345.

[3] Besse, A.L., *Einstein Manifolds*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, **1987**.

[4] Bishop, R. L. and O'Neill, B., *Manifolds of negative curvature*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **145** (1969), 1-49.

[5] Deszcz, R., *On four-dimensional Riemannian warped product manifolds satisfying certain pseudosymmetric curvature conditions*, Colloquium Math., **LXII** (1991), 103–120.

[6] Deszcz, R., *On Roter type manifolds*, 5-th Conference on Geometry and Topology of Manifolds April 27 - May 3, 2003, Krynica, Poland.

[7] Deszcz, R. and Głogowska, M., *Some examples of nonsemisymmetric Ricci-semisymmetric hypersurfaces*, Colloq. Math., **94** (2002), 87–101.

[8] Deszcz, R., Głogowska, M., Hotloś, M. and Şentürk, Z., *On certain quasi-Einstein semi-symmetric hypersurfaces*, Ann. Univ. Sci. Budapest Eötvös Sect. Math., **41** (1998), 151–164.

[9] Deszcz, R., Głogowska, M., Jełowicki, J., Petrović-Torgašev, M., and Zafindratafa, Z., *On Riemann and Weyl compatible tensors*, Publ. Inst. Math. (Beograd) (N.S.), **94** (**108**) (2013), 111–124.

[10] Deszcz, R., Hasmani, A.H., Khambholja, V.G. and Shaikh, A.A., *Curvature properties of interior black hole metric*, arXiv:1401.6256v1 [math.DG], 24 Jan 2014.

[11] Deszcz, R. and Hotloś, M., *On hypersurfaces with type number two in spaces of constant curvature*, Ann. Univ. Sci. Budapest Eötvös Sect. Math., **46** (2003), 19–34.

[12] Deszcz, R., Hotloś, M., Jełowicki, J., Kundu, H. and Shaikh, A.A., *Curvature properties of Gödel metric*, Int J. Geom. Method Mod. Phy., **11(3)** (2014), 1450025-1 – 1450025-20, DOI: 10.1142/S021988781450025X.

[13] Deszcz, R., Plaue, M., Scherfner, M., *On Roter type warped products with 1-dimensional fibres*, Journal of Geometry and Physics, **69** (1991), 1-11.

[14] Ehrlich, P.E., Jung, Y.T. and Kim, S.B., *Constant scalar curvatures on warped product manifolds*, Tsukuba J. Math., **20** (1996), 239–256.

[15] Głogowska, M., *Semi-Riemannian manifolds whose Weyl tensor is a Kulkarni-Nomizu square*, Publ. Inst. Math. (Beograd) (N.S.), **72(86)** (2002), 95–106.

[16] Hotloś, M., *On conformally symmetric warped products*, Ann. Academic Paedagogical Cracoviensis, **23** (2004), 75-85.

[17] Kručkovič, G. I., *On semi-reducible Riemannian spaces* (in Russian), Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR, **115** (1957), 862-865.

[18] Sánchez M., *On the geometry of generalized Robertson-Walker spacetimes: geodesics*, Gen. Relativity Grav., **30** (1998), 915–932.

[19] Sánchez M., *On the geometry of generalized Robertson-Walker spacetimes: curvature and Killing fields*, J. Geom. Phys. **31** (1999), 1–15.

[20] Sawicz, K., *On curvature characterization of some hypersurfaces in spaces of constant curvature*, Publ. Inst. Math. (Beograd) (N.S.), **79** (**93**) (2006), 95–107.

[21] Shaikh, A.A., Deszcz, R., Hotloś, M., Jełowicki, J. and Kundu, H., *On pseudosymmetric manifolds*, Preprint.

[22] Shaikh, A.A. and Kundu, H., *On weakly symmetric and weakly Ricci symmetric warped product manifolds*, Publ. Math. Debrecen, **81(3-4)** (2012), 487–505.

[23] Shaikh, A.A. and Kundu, H., *On generlized Roter type manifolds*, Preprint.

[24] Shaikh, A. A. and Kundu, H., *On quivelency of various geometric structures*, J. Geom., **105** (2014), 139–165.

Department of Mathematics,

University of Burdwan, Golapbag,

Burdwan-713104,

West Bengal, India

E-mail address: aask2003@yahoo.co.in, aashaikh@math.buruniv.ac.in

E-mail address: kundu.haradhan@gmail.com